

NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT PLANNING COMMISSION



REPUBLIC OF GHANA

GUIDELINES

FOR THE PREPARATION OF

THE DISTRICT MONITORING AND EVALUATION PLAN

UNDER

**THE GROWTH AND POVERTY REDUCTION STRATEGY
(GPRS II) 2006 - 2009**

NDPC, 2007

Table of Contents

<i>List of Acronyms & Abbreviations</i>	3
CHAPTER ONE	4
1 INTRODUCTION	4
1.1 BACKGROUND	4
1.2 RATIONALE	5
1.3 STRUCTURE OF THE GUIDELINES	5
1.4 PROCESS OF DEVELOPING THE GUIDELINES	6
CHAPTER TWO	7
2 INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR DECENTRALISED M&E	7
2.1 MONITORING AND EVALUATION MANDATE AND FUNCTIONS OF THE NDPC	7
2.2 THE REGIONAL MINISTERS AND DISTRICT CHIEF EXECUTIVES	7
2.3 MONITORING AND EVALUATION MANDATE AND FUNCTIONS OF THE RPCU	8
2.4 MONITORING AND EVALUATION MANDATE AND FUNCTIONS OF THE DPCU	8
2.5 TRADITIONAL AUTHORITIES (TAS) AND CSOs	9
2.6 THE ROLE OF DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS	10
CHAPTER THREE	11
3 DMTDP MONITORING AND EVALUATION PLAN	11
3.1 SEQUENCE OF ACTIVITIES TO PREPARE THE M&E PLAN	11
STEP 1: IDENTIFICATION AND ANALYSIS OF STAKEHOLDERS	11
STEP 2: ASSESSING NEEDS AND CREATING THE NECESSARY CONDITIONS AND CAPACITIES	12
STEP 3: MONITORING INDICATORS	13
STEP 4: M&E MATRIX	15
STEP 5: M&E CALENDAR (WORK PLAN)	16
STEP 6: M&E BUDGET	17
STEP 7: DATA COLLECTION, VALIDATION AND COLLATION	17
<i>Data on Programmes and Projects</i>	17
<i>Primary Data</i>	18
<i>Secondary Data</i>	18
<i>Data Validation</i>	18
<i>M&E Information System</i>	18
STEP 8: DATA ANALYSIS AND USE OF THE RESULTS	19
STEP 9: REPORTING	19
STEP 10: INFORMATION DISSEMINATION	19
3.2 M&E MANUALS AND TRAINING WORKSHOPS	20
3.3 THE USE OF EXTERNAL FACILITATORS	20
CHAPTER FOUR	22
4 EVALUATION	22
4.1 PARTICIPATORY M&E	22
ANNEXES	24
ANNEX A: <i>Glossary of M&E Concepts and Terms in the Guidelines</i>	24
ANNEX B: <i>Example of a DPCU Capacity and Management Index</i>	26
ANNEX C: <i>Proposed Programme for Preparing the District M&E Plan</i>	27

List of Acronyms & Abbreviations

APR	Annual Progress Report
BECE	Basic Education Certificate Examination
CBO	Community Based Organisation
CSO	Civil Society Organisation
CSPG	Cross Sectoral Planning Group
CWIQ	Core Welfare Indicators Questionnaire
DA	District Assembly
DACF	District Assembly Common Fund
DBO	District Budget Officer
DCD	District Coordinating Director
DCE	District Chief Executive
DFO	District Finance Officer
DPCU	District Planning and Coordinating Unit
DMTDP	District Medium-Term Development Plan
DP	Development Partner
DPO	District Planning Officer
GDHS	Ghana Demographic and Health Survey
GLSS	Ghana Living Standards Survey
GPRS I	Ghana Poverty Reduction Strategy
GPRS II	Growth and Poverty Reduction Strategy
GSS	Ghana Statistical Service
HIPC	Highly Indebted Poor Country (initiative)
M&E	Monitoring and Evaluation
MDAs	Ministries, Departments and Agencies
MMDA	Metropolitan, Municipal and District Assembly
MLGRDE	Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and the Environment
MoFEP	Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning
MOWAC	Ministry for Women and Children's Affairs
MTEF	Medium Term Expenditure Framework
NDPC	National Development Planning Commission
NGO	Non-Governmental Organisation
PM&E	Participatory Monitoring and Evaluation
RCC	Regional Coordinating Council
RPCU	Regional Planning and Coordinating Units
TA	Traditional Authority

CHAPTER ONE

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The Government of Ghana is committed to prudent management and accountability practices within the public service that result in effective and efficient delivery of services for the benefit of all people living in Ghana. Government is further committed to the Medium Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF) that links resource allocation to the national development policy objectives and the delivery of specified outputs and outcomes.

Annually, Government commits significant resources to support a wide range of development interventions that are designed to improve the general standards of living in the country. The Ghana Poverty Reduction Strategy (GPRS I), implemented from 2002 to 2005, was a broad based development strategy for accelerated growth and poverty reduction. It focused heavily on poverty reduction programmes and projects. The emphasis in the Growth and Poverty Reduction Strategy (GPRS II), being implemented from 2006 to 2009, is on growth-inducing policies and programmes which have the potential of supporting wealth creation for sustainable poverty reduction. GPRS II is therefore anchored on maintaining the macroeconomic stability and on pursuing accelerated private sector-led growth, vigorous human resource development, good governance and civic responsibility.

All government agencies responsible for the implementation of these programmes and projects should demonstrate, through evidence-based information, that these interventions are having the desired impacts in terms of positively transforming the lives of all targeted beneficiaries. In the context of good public sector governance, the application of Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) tools to generate reliable and accurate information to help government make sound policies and decisions is becoming increasingly relevant. Indeed, the establishment of a comprehensive national M&E system is key to facilitating the realisation of Ghana's vision of becoming a middle income economy by 2015.

The District M&E Guidelines seek to place the practice of monitoring and evaluation within this broader public sector management and accountability framework. Ghana made good progress in monitoring the implementation of GPRS I. Systematic reviews of GPRS I&II implementation produced five Annual Progress Reports (APRs) for the years 2002 to 2006. Increased efforts were also made to embed the culture of M&E in all agencies of government.

The Guidelines underpin the development of an integrated national monitoring and evaluation system. Monitoring the implementation of the District Medium Term Development Plans (DMTDP) from 2006 to 2009 is a continuation of the successful and collaborative effort to develop an efficient results-based M&E system for GPRS II. It will not only facilitate the collection, analysis and dissemination of information on performance and outcomes, but will also enable the National Development Planning Commission (NDPC) and other Ministries, Departments and Agencies (MDAs) to feed the analysis from the District and Regional APRs directly into policy and decision making processes.

1.2 Rationale

There are many reasons for the increasing efforts to strengthen and fully institutionalize an M&E system in Ghana. The core argument for M&E is that services can be continually improved through informed decision making, leading to improved standard of living. The recognition that resources are limited and the ever-rising expectations from citizens for better services are enough reasons to find more cost effective ways of operating so that government can do more with less. Civil society, parliament and other stakeholders are also putting accountability pressures on the government to publicly report on performances. This is particularly true in Ghana, where government itself has promised to deliver on public sector transparency and accountability. As a result, Government has taken purposive steps to establish and progressively refine the M&E systems in support of its core functions. M&E in the country has therefore shifted from being implementation based (concerned with the implementation of activities) to being results-based (assessing if real changes have occurred).

The Guidelines provide a uniform approach for results-based M&E in all districts. It is primarily meant to institute an effective and efficient system for tracking the progress of programmes and projects in each district and to generate timely reports to the NDPC and other stakeholders through the Regional Planning Coordinating Units (RPCUs). The key outputs from the Guidelines will be the District Monitoring and Evaluation Plans and the Regional and District Annual Progress Reports.

Systematic monitoring and evaluation of the DMTDP and reporting will show the extent of progress made towards the implementation of GPRS II and will further help to:

1. Assess whether DMTDP developmental targets were being met
2. Identify achievements, constraints and failures so that improvements can be made to the DMTDP and project designs to achieve better impact
3. Identify the appropriate packages that will increase the responsive capacities of targeted beneficiaries
4. Provide information for effective coordination of district development at the regional level
5. Document lessons learned from the implementation of programmes and projects
6. Improve service delivery and influence allocation of resources in the districts
7. Demonstrate results to stakeholders as part of accountability and transparency
8. Reinforce ownership of the DMTDP and build M&E capacity within each District

1.3 Structure of the Guidelines

The Guidelines present a step by step process for developing the District M&E Plan. It:

- ☑ assigns M&E responsibilities
- ☑ recommends ways of assessing needs and creating the necessary supporting conditions and capacities
- ☑ recommends ways of developing the M&E work plan and budget
- ☑ provides tools for M&E data collection and analysis
- ☑ stipulates what reports to generate, the reporting times and formats
- ☑ suggests how dissemination could be carried out to achieve the desired impact

The M&E Glossary (Annex A) explains some of the key concepts and terms used in the Guidelines.

1.4 Process of Developing the Guidelines

The NDPC prepared the Guidelines with inputs from several partners and stakeholders. A first draft was produced after reviewing the previous draft Guidelines and diverse M&E literature. The second draft was reviewed internally and distributed to others outside NDPC for their inputs. Peers/experts thoroughly reviewed the third draft at a workshop. This was followed by a pre-testing exercise with RPCU and DPCU members. Orientation exercises were then conducted in the regional capitals for all RPCU and DPCU members, representatives from CSOs, development partners and the private sector.

CHAPTER TWO

2 INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR DECENTRALISED M&E

Chapter two outlines the institutional arrangements that will support and sustain effective M&E at the regional and district levels. It defines roles and responsibilities of NDPC, other government agencies and officials in accordance with the relevant legal provisions. It lays emphasis on the involvement of traditional authorities, civil society organisations and development partners to create a holistic and participatory approach, effective feedback mechanisms and demand for M&E information.

2.1 Monitoring and Evaluation Mandate and Functions of the NDPC

The National Development Planning Commission of Ghana is the institution established and mandated by Articles 86 and 87 of the 1992 Constitution to guide the formulation of development plans and to undertake the Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) of the country's development efforts. The NDPC advises the President and Parliament (on request) on the performance of public policy and programmes, their impacts, and on the need for policy reforms. Whereas the National Development Planning Commission Act, 479 of 1994 established the Commission; the National Development Planning (System) Act 480 specifies its planning, monitoring and evaluation functions.

In fulfilment of its constitutional mandate, NDPC is in continuous dialogue with the Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning (MoFEP), the Ghana Statistical Service (GSS), Office of the President, Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Environment (MLGRDE), other MDAs, Development Partners as well as civil society on all planning and M&E matters. For policy formulation, planning, monitoring and evaluation purposes, NDPC also works through Cross-Sectoral Planning Groups (CSPGs). The CSPGs are composed of state and non-state actors drawn from MDAs, academic and research institutions, Civil Society and Non-Governmental Organisations (CSO/NGO), the private sector and selected individuals. The NDPC will continue to organise the CSPGs around the three thematic areas of GPRS II (macroeconomic stability and accelerated private sector-led growth, vigorous human resource development, good governance and civic responsibility) to define core indicators, review M&E reports and perform other M&E functions.

2.2 The Regional Ministers and District Chief Executives

The Regional Ministers, District Chief Executives and their Coordinating Directors are requested to facilitate the work of the RPCUs and DPCUs by ensuring that:

- M&E capacities of the RPCU and DPCU are developed to the required standard
- RPCU/DPCUs are adequately resourced with a vehicle and the necessary logistics to enable them collect, analyse data and generate reports in an accurate and timely manner
- All programmes and projects in the regions and districts are systematically monitored and evaluated

2.3 Monitoring and Evaluation Mandate and Functions of the RPCU

Section 143, Sub-section 2 of the Local Government Act, 1993, Act 462 established the Regional Planning Coordinating Unit to provide a secretariat for the Regional Coordinating Council (RCC) to perform its coordination, monitoring, evaluation and harmonization functions specified under Section 8 of the National Development Planning (Systems) Act, 1994, Act 480.

The composition of the RPCU derives its source from Section 143, Sub-section 3 of the Local Government Act, 1993, Act 462. RPCU shall consist of 11 members (Box 1). To perform its M&E functions effectively, the RPCU should co-opt other sector agency heads, persons from the private sector and civil society organizations whose inputs will be needed. The Regional Coordinating Director shall convene all meetings, issue circulars to the regional sector agencies, etc. The Regional Economic Planning Officer shall manage the RPCU secretariat; maintain the documentation centre, lead monitoring visits, etc. The above mentioned staff will also serve as a link between the RPCU and the RCC. There should be gender balance in the membership of the group.

To fulfil its M&E functions, the roles and responsibilities of the RPCU include the following:

- a. Provide guidance to the districts in the development and implementation of their M&E Plans
- b. Demand, collate and evaluate data from district level M&E for onward transmission to the NDPC and other stakeholders
- c. Evaluate, recommend and support capacity building and other M&E needs for the District Assemblies
- d. Review data and verify inconsistencies
- e. Support GSS formal survey interventions relating to GPRS II
- f. Verify the indicators submitted from the districts through workshops
- g. Periodically visit key project sites and report on development progress in the Districts
- h. Facilitate dissemination of GPRS II and other M&E reports to all the districts and stakeholders
- i. Ensure that gender equality/equity indicators are clearly outlined in the M&E Plans and the information analysed from a gender perspective
- j. Hold annual workshops to involve all DAs within the region for cross District GPRS II review with policy recommendations
- k. Review and collate the district Annual Progress Reports to produce the regional APR
- l. Facilitate evaluation of the DMTDPs and make recommendations for policy review

Box 1: Members of the Regional Planning Coordinating Unit

1	Regional Coordinating Director (as Head)
2	Regional Economic Planning Officer (as Secretary)
3	Regional Budget Officer
4	Regional Local Government Inspector
5	Regional Director of Health
6	Regional Director of Education, Science and Sports
7	Regional Director of Agriculture
8	Chief Works Superintendent
9	Regional Town and Country Planning Officer
10	Regional Statistics Officer
11	Regional Coordinating Council Nominee

2.4 Monitoring and Evaluation Mandate and Functions of the DPCU

Section 46, Sub-section 3 of the Local Government Act, 1993, Act 462 established the District Planning Coordinating Unit (DPCU) to assist the District Assembly to execute designated

planning functions. The National Development Planning (Systems) Act, 1994, Act 480 defines the DPCU’s planning, programming, monitoring, evaluation and co-ordinating functions.

In line with Section 46, Sub-section 4 of the Local Government Act, 1993, Act462, the DPCU shall be made up of a minimum of eleven officers (Box 2). To perform its M&E functions effectively, the DPCU should co-opt representatives from other sector agencies, persons from the private sector and civil society organizations whose inputs will be needed. The District Coordinating Director should lead the Group and be responsible for convening meetings, issuing of circulars to the decentralised sector agencies, etc. The District Planning Officer shall act as the secretary and be responsible for documenting all M&E working sessions, ensuring participation of all stakeholders, etc. There should be gender balance in the membership of the group.

To fulfil its M&E functions, the DPCU shall perform the following roles and responsibilities:

- a. Directly responsible for the development and implementation of the District M&E Plan
- b. Convene quarterly DMTDP performance review meetings with all stakeholders. It is important that representatives of the NDPC and RPCU attend the quarterly meetings.
- c. Undertake periodic project site inspections
- d. Liaise with RPCU to agree on goals and targets
- e. Define indicators for measuring change, especially on gender equity and other cross-cutting themes in GPRS II, such as vulnerability, exclusion and social protection
- f. Collect and collate feedback from the sub-district levels for preparation of the District APR
- g. Facilitate dissemination and public awareness on GPRS II, the Annual Progress Reports and other documents from NDPC at district and sub-district levels.¹
- h. Provide support to GSS to undertake district level CWIQ and other national surveys and census
- i. Produce District Annual Progress Reports and make recommendations for policy review
- j. Conduct Mid-term and Terminal Evaluations of the DMTDP

Box 2: Members of the District (Metropolitan/Municipal) Planning Coordinating Unit

1	District coordinating Director
2	District Planning Officer
3	District Budget Officer
4	District Finance Officer
5	District Director of Health Services
6	District Director of Education
7	District Director of Agriculture
8	District Director of Social Welfare or Community Development
9	District Physical Planning Director
10	District Director of Works or Engineer
11	Nominee of the District Assembly

2.5 Traditional Authorities (TAs) and CSOs

Traditional Authorities (Chiefs and Queen Mothers), the Youth and Civil Society Organizations (*non- government organizations - NGOs, Community Based Organisations - CBOs, voluntary, professional and faith-based organisations, universities, research institutions, think-tanks, the media, etc.*) can play several roles as producers and users of M&E information.

¹ There is an on-going initiative involving the Ministry for Public Sector Reform, Ministry of Information and National Orientation and the National Development Planning Commission to coordinate the dissemination of Government information within the framework of Development Communications. Under this initiative, Development Communications Units are being established in all MDAs and MMDAs with the Ministry of Information as the coordinating institution, supported by Regional and District Communications Teams, of which some RPCUs and DPCUs are members.

The participation of TAs and CSOs in the DMTDP monitoring can add real value to the process itself and its policy outcomes. Firstly, TAs and CSOs have contacts with the grassroots and are therefore well positioned to express the views and experiences of people whose needs the DMTDPs aim to address. Despite the limitations of information gathering and analytical base, TAs and CSOs can be the source of independent and useful information and perspectives on how the DA and other key government officials are performing. On the whole, TAs and CSOs can contribute to hold DAs more accountable and responsible for the delivery of goods and services as well as exposing malpractices, corruption and choices which do not benefit those whose needs the DMTDP are supposed to address. TAs and CSOs should therefore be involved in the various steps of preparing the M&E Plan and throughout its implementation.


2.6 The Role of Development Partners

Development Partners (DPs) can play a significant role in shaping and enhancing M&E at the district level. Specifically, DPs could:

1. Strengthen district-level institutional framework for evidence based planning, monitoring and evaluation
2. Provide support to enhance capacity building in M&E for the preparation and implementation of the district M&E Plan.
3. Assist DAs to develop efficient information and reporting system and reduce the support for separate, additional monitoring and reporting procedures.

The institutional and reporting framework outlined above is summarised in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Decentralised M&E institutional and reporting framework



CHAPTER THREE

3 DMTDP MONITORING AND EVALUATION PLAN

As stated in Section 1.2, the key output from these guidelines would be the District Monitoring and Evaluation Plan. This plan should be used by DAs to measure progress toward achievement of DMTDP goals and objectives in a structured way. It should also provide a clear direction on how specific activities and expected results of the DMTDP will be monitored and evaluated. The plan should incorporate components that will make it possible to understand the DMTDP, reflect and learn lessons from its implementation. The process of developing the plan should be as participatory and collaborative as possible with a strong feedback from public hearings as recommended in the District Planning Guidelines. The plan write-up should be kept as simple as possible. Box 3 presents some key elements of the M&E Plan. This plan will require the approval of the District Assembly, alongside the DMTDP, thereby ensuring that resources are committed for M&E activities.

Box 3: M&E Plan Format	
CHAPTER 1	Introduction <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Goal and Objectives of DMTDP <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Purpose of the M&E Plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Implementation Status of the DMTDP
CHAPTER 2	M&E Activities <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Stakeholders Analysis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> M&E Conditions and Capacities <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indicators & Targets <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> M&E Matrix <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> M&E Calendar (Work Plan) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> M&E Budget <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> How Data will be Collected and Collated <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> How Data will be Analysed and Used <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> How and when to report on findings <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> How Dissemination will be done <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Which Evaluations will be done and how <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> How Participatory M&E will be done
CHAPTER 3	Other issues of Relevance to M&E <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E.g. Collaboration with Development Partners <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Process of developing the M&E Plan

3.1 Sequence of Activities to Prepare the M&E Plan

The following are the steps to developing the M&E Plan.

STEP 1: IDENTIFICATION AND ANALYSIS OF STAKEHOLDERS

An initial activity of the DPCU is to identify and classify all organisations and groups of people with interests in development and poverty reduction in the district. The identification and participation of all stakeholders will lead to sustained capacity building, dissemination and demand for M&E results. See an example of some stakeholders identified in Table 1.

Table 1: Example of some M&E stakeholders and their needs

Stakeholders	Information Needs/Responsibilities
1. Government policy and decision makers	Policy formulation
2. Local community	To demand accountability and support data collection
3. District Assembly / Assembly Members	Policy formulation and development planning
4. Regional Coordinating Council	Policy, planning and development coordination
5. Ministries Departments and Agencies	Policy formulation and coordination
6. Parliament / Members of Parliament	To brief constituents
7. Political Parties	To evaluate performance of government
8. Development Partners	To monitor utilization of fund inflow
9. Researchers / Institutions	Input for research
10. Media	Information to the general public
11. Civil Society Groups (NGOs, CSOs, TAs, People with Disabilities, etc.)	To demand accountability and transparency, information dissemination and advocacy

STEP 2: ASSESSING NEEDS AND CREATING THE NECESSARY CONDITIONS AND CAPACITIES

The purpose of this step is to assess the capability of the district to develop and implement the M&E Plan. Assessing the necessary M&E conditions means identifying not just the funds for monitoring and evaluation but also the requisite human resource. It is the process of evaluating the status, conditions, needs and M&E capacity in the district. It also means determining the capacity to manage Information and Communication Technology (ICT). The rationale is to ensure that the appropriate incentives, material and human resources are in place for effective DMTDP implementation, monitoring and evaluation. This process should involve all stakeholders. The crucial roles of the Regional Ministers, District Chief Executives and Coordinating Directors in this process were outlined in Section 2.4. DPCU should use the operational questions in Table 2 to develop a Capacity Index similar to the example in Annex B.

Table 2: Example of some questions that could guide the situation analysis

Issues/ Solutions	Questions
DMTDP	Is there an approved DMTDP?
	What are the constraints against monitoring the implementation of the DMTDP?
	What changes would different stakeholder groups like to see the DMTDP bring about?
Human Resource	What are the capacity and human resource requirements for M&E in the District?
	How many DPCU staff lack the requisite M&E skills?
	What is the situation with regards to office space, incentives, leadership, etc.

District M&E Guidelines

	What training will be required? E.g. Courses on: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Monitoring and Evaluation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Development Planning <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Team and consensus building techniques <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Database management and other computer programs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Report writing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facilitation skills <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Etc.
	Does the district have its entire DPCU staff?
	What technical support will be required?
	Is there a vehicle for M&E?
Logistics	Is there a documentation centre (with periodicals, acts and legislative instruments, development plans, guidelines, manuals, etc.)?
	Are there enough computers and accessories (printers, scanners, LCD Projector, etc.)?
	Are there photocopiers, flip charts, etc.
Recommendations	What are the solutions for the challenges identified?

STEP 3: MONITORING INDICATORS

One of the critical steps in preparing the M&E Plan is defining the most appropriate indicators and setting targets that are achievable and directly related to the DMTDP goal and objectives. Indicators are needed for measuring progress whilst targets are the sign post that will lead us to the stated goal and objectives. NDPC in collaboration with MDAs, RPCUs and DAs have defined some core indicators for monitoring in all districts (Table 3). In addition to these indicators, district specific indicators based on the DMTDP should be determined through a participatory and collaborative process. It is important to make each indicator Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Reliable and Time bound (SMART). See lead questions in Box 4 as a guide. The core and district specific indicators should also:

Box 4. Lead Questions for defining a SMART indicator

1. Are there areas of overlap in the content of the indicator with that of other indicators or will it measure only this condition or event **(Specific)?**
2. Is the indicator measurable or quantifiable **(Measurable)?**
3. What measurement costs (human and financial resources) does the indicator require **(Affordable and Attainable)?**
4. Will the indicator measure only what it is supposed to measure and produce the same results when used more than once to measure the same condition or event **(Reliable)?**
5. Will the indicator be able to measure changes over time **(Time bound)?**

- i. Be categorised into input, output, outcome or impact indicators
- ii. Be disaggregated (where possible) by age, gender, communities, etc.
- iii. Have information on how it will be measured and by whom
- iv. Indicate the frequency of monitoring
- v. Have targets set for the DMTDP implementation period
- vi. Have baselines (they should be established where they do not exist)

vii. Have targets of what can be achieved by 2009 as well as annual targets.

Details on the indicator definition process will be covered in the training manual.

Table 3: Core District Indicators

	Indicator (Categorised by GPRS II Thematic Areas)	National Baseline (2005)	National Target (2009)
	PRIVATE SECTOR COMPETITIVENESS		
1.	Percentage (%) increase in yield of selected crops, livestock and fish	Maize = 0.35 Rice(milled) = 0.06 Cassava = 0.52 Yam = 0.45 Pineapple = 0.10 Pawpaw = 0.07 Mango = 0.07 Banana = 0.05	0.52 1.56 0.76 0.65 0.14 0.09 0.10 0.09
2.	Proportion/length of roads maintained/Rehabilitated - Trunk Roads (in km) - Urban Roads (in km) - Feeder Roads (in km)	12,127 2,972 17,117	13,260 5,173 26,913
3.	% change in number of households with access to electricity	1,313,825	Increase by 12%
4.	Hectares of degraded forest, mining, dry and wet lands rehabilitated/restored: a. Forest b. Mining c. Dry and wetland	10,000 ha (Govt/Private) -Small scale: 205 ha -Large scale: Na	20,000 ha
5.	% increase in tourist arrivals	10%	14%
6.	Tele-density/Penetration rate:	15% Fixed Line 1.7% Mobile 13.3%	30%
	HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT		
7.	HIV/AIDS prevalence rate (% of adult population, 15-49 yrs. HIV positive)	3.4%	
8.	Maternal Mortality ratio (Number of deaths due to pregnancy and childbirth per 100,000 live births)	214	
9.	Under-five mortality rate (Number of deaths occurring between birth and exact age five per 1000 live births)	111	
10.	Malaria case fatality in children under five years per 10,000 population	2.8%	
11.	Percent of population with sustainable access to safe water sources ²	57%	60%
12.	% of population with access to improved sanitation (flush toilets, KVIP, household latrine)		

² CWSA defines access to safe water to include the following elements:

1. Ensuring that each person in a community served has access to no less than 20 litres of water per day
2. Ensure that walking distance to a water facility does not exceed 500 meters from the furthest house in the community
3. That each sprout of borehole or pipe system must serve no more than 300 persons and 150 for a hand dug well
4. The water system is owned and managed by the community
5. Water facility must provide all year round potable water to community members

District M&E Guidelines

13.	a. Gross Enrolment Rate (Indicates the number of pupils/students at a given level of schooling-regardless of age- as proportion of the number children in the relevant age group) - Primary - JSS - SSS b. Net Admission Rate in Primary Schools (Indicates Primary One enrolment of pupils aged 6 years)	87.50% 72.80% 25.58% 26.20%	99.00% 86.60% 30.90%
14.	Gender Parity Index (Ratio between girls' and boys' enrolment rates, the balance of parity is 1.00)	KG 0.98 Primary 0.93 JSS 0.88 SSS 43.50% TVET 85.90%	KG 1.00 Primary 1.00 JSS 1.00 SSS 46.0% TVET 31.4%
15.	Proportion of unemployed youth benefiting from skills/apprenticeship and entrepreneurial training		
	GOOD GOVERNANCE AND CIVIC RESPONSIBILITY		
16.	Total amount of internally generated revenue		
17.	Amount of Development Partner and NGO funds contribution to DMTDP implementation		
18.	% of DA expenditure within the DMTDP budget (How much of DA's expenditure was not in the annual budget?)		
19.	Number of reported cases of abuse (children, women and men)		
20.	Police citizen ratio	1:925	1:500 (UN Ratio)

STEP 4: M&E MATRIX

One of the main features of the M&E plan is a monitoring and evaluation matrix. The matrix provides a format for presenting the inputs, outputs, outcomes, and impacts (and their corresponding activities) for each DMTDP objective. It summarizes the overall monitoring and evaluation plan by including a list of methods to be used in collecting the data. This matrix also shows the linkage of the DMTDP to GPRS II policy objectives. Table 4 shows the main elements of the matrix.

District M&E Guidelines

Table 4: Example of M&E Matrix

SMTDP Goal: To improve livelihoods through increased food security and incomes								
GPRS II Policy Objective to Link: Ensure sustainable increase in agricultural productivity and output to support industry and provide stable income for farmers								
Objective 1: To increase and diversify agricultural production in a sustainable way								
Indicators	Indicator Type	Baseline 2005	Targets 2006 - 9			Data Sources	Monitoring Frequency	Responsibility
Percentage (%) increase in yield of selected crops, live stock and fish	Output					MoFA	Semi-annually	MoFA, DPCU
% of households able to meet minimum nutritional requirements throughout the year	Outcome					MoFA	Annually	MoFA, DPCU
Objective 2:								
ETC.								

STEP 5: M&E CALENDAR (WORK PLAN)

Another vital component of the M&E Plan is an Annual M&E Calendar or Work Plan. It should be developed through a participatory process featuring the actors, (who should do what), the time frame and a budget relating to each activity. The calendar should be detailed enough with specific dates for the various activities. Table 5 shows a simplified M&E Calendar.

Table 5: Example of M&E Calendar (devoid of essential details)

ACTIVITIES	TIME FRAME				ACTORS	BUDGET
	2006	2007	2008	2009		
DMTDP Evaluation						
Mid-term Evaluation	First week of July 2008				DPCU, +	
Terminal Evaluation	First week of July 2010				DPCU, +	
Other Evaluation and studies	Second week of July bi-annually				DPCU, ++	
Participatory M&E	Second week of Jan. bi-annually				DPCU, +	
Data collection and review meetings						
Quarterly field visits	1 st Tuesday in Mar, Jun, Sept. and Dec.				DPCU, +	
Quarterly review meetings	1 st Thursday in Mar, Jun, Sept. and Dec.				DPCU, +	
Preparation of quarterly reports	15 th of April, Jul. and Oct.				DPCU, +	
APR preparation and dissemination						
Data collation from regional offices	From 15 th Jan. annually				DPCU, +	
Draft APR prepared	31 st Jan. annually				DPCU, +	
Draft APR review workshop	15 th February annually				DPCU, +	
Final APR submitted to RPCU/NDPC	28 th Feb. annually				DPCU, +	
Dissemination of District APR	15 th March annually				DPCU, +	

STEP 6: M&E BUDGET

Even though there is an allocation from the District Assembly Common Fund (DACF) for this purpose, M&E has to date received little priority in DA budgets and comparatively insignificant actual disbursements. It is strongly recommended that all DPCUs draw the M&E budget through a participatory process. The M&E budget is more than just a statement of proposed expenditures, it is as much a statement on whether effective M&E will be conducted or not. Inflated budgets are signals of waste whilst budgets that are too low cast doubts on the credibility of the entire M&E plan. As stated in Section 3.0, the M&E budget will require the approval of the District Assembly. Some operational questions to guide preparation of the budget are presented in the Table 6.

Table 6: Example of some questions that could guide the budget preparation

The key question is whether there is a separate M&E budget and if sufficient resources have been allocated for M&E?
1. What are the human and logistical costs to consider in the budget? E.g.:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff time – travel and sitting allowances <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Training events – venue, travel, accommodation, materials, per diems, course fees, etc. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Office equipment – computer and accessories (hardware and software), etc. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Documentation – Printing and distribution, display boards, services, etc. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Technical assistance – fees, such as for consultants, editorial assistants, etc. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> M&E Plan – Plan preparation, review workshops, training, etc. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Materials and Supplies - Office supplies, stationery, etc. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Communications - telephone, fax, e-mail, internet, media, etc.
2. Is there a vehicle for field monitoring exercises?
3. What will it cost to fuel and maintain the vehicle?
4. What will it cost to monitor and evaluate each project?
5. How much will it cost to disseminate the M&E results?
6. What will be the total M&E cost of the DMTDP?

STEP 7: DATA COLLECTION, VALIDATION AND COLLATION

Data on Programmes and Projects

DPCU should compile a register of all ongoing programmes and projects in the district. All programmes and projects undertaken under the auspices of the District Assembly, by MDAs as well as Development Partners and NGOs should be covered. This register should be regularly updated with details on each activity such as start-time, costs, location, and source of funding, expected completion date, status of project, etc. Box 5 shows a simple programme/project report format. As much as possible, the data should also indicate the contributions that programmes and projects are making toward the achievement of the goal and objectives of the DMTDP.

Primary Data

The data gathered should be both quantitative and qualitative (Box 6) and should include demographic, socio-economic, revenue, expenditure and others as may be requested by the RPCU, NDPC and other MDAs. The data should be categorised into:

- Process data e.g. operations of the DPCU and sub-district structures, tendering and contract awards, compliance with audit recommendations, etc.
- Input data e.g. government transfers (DACF, HIPC, etc.) and other transfers from development partners and NGOs, IGF, etc.
- Output data e.g. construction projects, crops and livestock production, etc.
- Outcome/impact data e.g. literacy rate, BECE results, infant mortality, etc.

Box 5: Programme/Project Register Format

1.	Programme/Project Name	
2.	GPRS II Thematic Area	
3.	Sector	
4.	Project Description	
5.	Project Location	
6.	Contractor	
7.	Source of funding	
8.	Date started	
9.	Expected completion date	
10.	Contract sum	
11.	Expenditure to date	
12.	Project implementation status	
13.	Remarks	

Secondary Data

In addition to the primary data, secondary data should also be collected by the DPCU. Some useful sources of secondary data include NGOs, MDAs and their decentralized agencies: CWSA, MOFA, GHS, Ghana Statistical Service (CWIQ, GLSS, GDHS surveys and the National Census reports), etc.

Data Validation

It is important to review all the data collected with stakeholders before collation. A data validation forum will also ensure that the data is devoid of errors and inconsistencies.

Box 6: Quantitative & Qualitative Data

There are two types of data or indicators, both of which are essential for providing a clear picture of progress towards the DMTDP objectives.

- Quantitative** data have a numerical value attached to them, e.g. the number of boreholes drilled.
- Qualitative** data reflect people's observations, judgments, opinions, perceptions and attitudes of a given situation or subject e.g. reasons why a borehole is underutilized.

M&E Information System

A well functioning IT-based monitoring information system is required for effective data entry, efficient data processing and easy access to information on the DMTDP implementation.

The Ghana Statistical Service (GSS) in collaboration with NDPC launched the GhanaInfo database in July 2005. Capacities of selected DAs are being built to access and use the database for data collection, analysis and presentation. However, Districts that have not yet received the training should continue to use existing data collection instruments and M&E software at their disposal.

STEP 8: DATA ANALYSIS AND USE OF THE RESULTS

It is the responsibility of DPCU to collate all M&E data in each district (including those gathered by the decentralised agencies and CSOs), to analyse and report to the RPCU, NDPC and other MDAs and stakeholders. However, the purpose of the district M&E is not just to produce reports to satisfy regional and national reporting requirements. M&E data only becomes useful when analysed and interpreted to highlight key areas of concern and to identify interventions for development and poverty reduction in the district. The data should be analysed to show the results being produced by each project. Data analysis will further show how the district is performing with regards to all the indicators (core and district specific) and the critical areas of concern for the general public. Each indicator should be examined and the appropriate action taken to address the findings. Once an indicator has been highlighted for concern, further exploration should be taken on that issue. The basis for the analysis is to report on the progress of each indicator towards meeting the goal, objectives and targets of the DMTDP and GPRS II. The data must therefore be analysed in a systematic way so that lessons learned can be fed into the district action plans and the next DMTDP. However, project data analysis and presentation may depend on the information needs of Development Partners and other stakeholders. In spite of that, districts should focus on identifying linkages between the various projects and the goals and objectives of the DMTDP/GPRS II.

STEP 9: REPORTING

After each monitoring exercise, project actors, communities and sector departments involved should be made aware of the key observations and findings. DPCU should also brief the DCE, Presiding Member and other DA actors on progress of work, observations and gaps identified. This will allow all stakeholders to take the necessary action that require redress before the next monitoring exercise. The DPCU should include all the findings and reactions in its Quarterly and Annual Progress Reports. The APR should sum up all the M&E activities in the year. It is recommended that the M&E reports follow the outline presented in Box 7.

Box 7: District M&E Reports Format

Title Page

- District
- M&E Report for (time Period)

Introduction

- Purpose of the M&E for the stated period
- Processes involved and difficulties encountered
- Status of implementation of DMTDP

M&E Activities Report

- Programme/Project status for the quarter or Year
- Update on disbursements from funding sources
- Update on Indicators & Targets
- Update on Critical Development and Poverty Issues
- Evaluation and Participatory M&E

The Way Forward

- Key issues addressed and those yet to be addressed
- Recommendations

STEP 10: INFORMATION DISSEMINATION

A crucial but often forgotten step is planning how the M&E information will be disseminated to relevant stakeholders and decision-makers. Copies of the APR and quarterly reports should be forwarded to the RPCU, NDPC and other MDAs and stakeholders. Sharing the content of these reports with stakeholders at the sub-district and community levels will increase the

accountability and transparency of the DA as well as displaying commitment to development and poverty reduction. Furthermore, it will boost the commitment of the stakeholders to support development interventions that emerge from the M&E exercise. DPCU should therefore prepare a dissemination strategy. Some of the dissemination techniques that could be used include:

- ☑ Announcements, discussions and broadcast in the local news media e.g. local FM station, local newspapers, etc.
- ☑ Meeting with traditional authorities, representatives of area councils and other opinion leaders and tasking them to take the messages back to their communities
- ☑ Holding workshops and community meetings at central locations throughout the District.

A mechanism for providing feedback to DA should be built-in so that lessons learned can be applied to planning and decision making. Dissemination should target all the stakeholder groups identified in Step 1.

3.2 M&E Manuals and Training Workshops

NDPC shall, in collaboration with other stakeholders, develop training manuals on M&E and on the most appropriate Participatory M&E (PM&E) tools. Capacity building workshops will be organised at the regional and district levels. The training will cover M&E concepts, tools and strategies, institutional arrangements and responsibilities, the processes for developing the M&E Plan and on the use of computer software for data collection, analysis and display.

3.3 The Use of External Facilitators

Districts are advised to use members of the DPCUs to prepare the DMTDP and the M&E Plan. This will reinforce ownership and build capacity within the District. However, circumstances may necessitate the use of consultants and technical advisors. In such cases, DAs should prepare Terms of Reference (TOR) before the recruitment. An example of Terms of Reference (TOR) will be in the M&E training manual and how to recruit M&E consultants will be covered in the capacity building workshops.

Figure 2: Summary of the M&E Plan Preparation Steps and Outputs



CHAPTER FOUR

4 EVALUATION

One of the key features of the district development effort should be a strong commitment to conducting rigorous impact evaluations. Mid-term and terminal evaluations of the DMTDP should therefore be conducted. The DPCU should also assess the performance of all projects when completed to ascertain if the intervention has achieved its original objectives and assess the overall changes caused by the intervention. The DPCU should further examine the relevance of the development effectiveness of all projects with reference to the GPRS II. These evaluations will serve to improve management and provide insights for effective programme design and implementation. In addition, DPCU should also undertake or commission other studies outlined in Box 8.

Box 8: Other Studies and Evaluations

Strategic Evaluation

An evaluation of a particular issue aiming to advance a deeper understanding of the issue and reduce the range of uncertainties associated with the different options for addressing it. DAs should commission Strategic Evaluation when the urgency of an issue poses high risks to stakeholders or has generated conflicting views. A study of this nature will help to reach an acceptable working agreement among the parties concerned.

Impact Assessments (Economic, Social and Environmental)

Impact assessment is a generic term that includes Social, Economic and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) as well as Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA). It is a comprehensive process and assessment tool that should be used by DAs to ensure that programmes, projects and policies are economically viable, socially equitable and environmentally sustainable. Impact assessment should also take into account policy impacts in relation to gender equality and women empowerment.

District Poverty Profiling and Mapping

DPCU should work with CSOs and other stakeholders to prepare district level poverty profiles and maps that would give indications of how poverty manifests itself among different socio-economic groups. The poverty maps could also indicate how poverty presents itself in spatial terms in the district.

Thematic Evaluation Studies

Districts should commission Thematic Evaluation Studies to assess the effectiveness of the thematic policies, programmes and projects from the experiences gained during implementation of sector strategies.

Beneficiary Assessments

Districts should use Beneficiary Assessment tools to focus on understanding the opinions of service users on the quality and relevance of the policies, programmes and projects during and after implementation.

4.1 Participatory M&E

Participatory M&E is a valuable tool used to capture perceptions and assess whether interventions have met these expectations, especially of the poor and the vulnerable in society.

For effective PM&E, there is the need to educate and create awareness among beneficiaries and to involve them in the selection of indicators to monitor. A fundamental activity to be undertaken under Participatory M&E is to promote partnerships between the district assemblies and NGOs/CBOs and communities.

A number of NGO/CBOs are already engaged in participatory M&E and advocacy activities and could be engaged by districts to provide training, capacity building in participatory M&E methods. The DPCU should organise workshops for representatives of stakeholders and local NGO/CBOs to discuss the roles of different stakeholders and how Participatory M&E results will be incorporated into the district M&E reports.

Some of the well known PM&E tools and methodologies for social analysis and participatory impact assessment include:

- i. Citizen Report Cards
- ii. Community Score Cards
- iii. Focus Group Discussions
- iv. Participatory Expenditure Tracking of social service expenditure, etc.

ANNEXES

ANNEX A: Glossary of M&E Concepts and Terms in the Guidelines

Term	Definition
A	
Accountability	Obligation of government, public services or funding agencies to demonstrate to citizens that contracted work has been conducted in compliance with agreed rules and standards or to report fairly and accurately on performance results vis-à-vis mandated roles and/or plans. This may require a careful, even legally defensible, demonstration that the work is consistent with the contract terms. Projects commonly focus on upward accountability to the funding agency, while downward accountability involves making accounts and plans transparent to the primary stakeholders. Ensuring accountability is one part of the function of monitoring and evaluation (learning and management are the other two).
Activity	Actions taken or work performed in a project to produce specific outputs by using inputs, such as funds, technical assistance and other types of resources.
B	
Baseline information	Information – usually consisting of facts and figures collected at the initial stages of a project – that provides a basis for measuring progress in achieving project objectives and outputs.
Benchmark	Reference point or standard against which performance or achievements can be compared. A benchmark might refer to what has been achieved in the past, by other comparable organisations, or what could reasonably have been achieved under the circumstances.
E	
Effectiveness	A measure of the extent to which a project attains its objectives at the goal or purpose level; i.e. the extent to which a development intervention has attained, or is expected to attain, its relevant objectives efficiently and in a sustainable way.
Efficiency	A measure of how economically inputs (funds, expertise, time, etc.) are converted into outputs.
Evaluation	A systematic (and as objective as possible) examination of a planned, ongoing or completed project. It aims to answer specific management questions and to judge the overall value of an endeavour and supply lessons learned to improve future actions, planning and decision-making. Evaluations commonly seek to determine the efficiency, effectiveness, impact, sustainability and the relevance of the project or organisation's objectives. An evaluation should provide information that is credible and useful, offering concrete lessons learned to help partners and funding agencies make decisions.
G	
Goal	The higher-order programme or sector objective to which a development intervention, such as a project, is intended to contribute. Thus it is a statement of intent.
I	
Impact	The changes in the lives of people, as perceived by them and their partners at the time of evaluation, plus sustainability-enhancing change in their environment to which the project has contributed. Changes can be positive or negative, intended or unintended

District M&E Guidelines

Indicator	Quantitative or qualitative factor or variable that provides a simple and reliable basis for assessing achievement, change or performance. A unit of information measured over time that can help show changes in a specific condition. A given goal or objective can have multiple indicators.
Information management system	A system of inputting, collating and organising data that should provide selective data and reports to assist in monitoring and controlling the project organisation, resources, activities and results.
Input	The financial, human and material resources necessary to produce the intended outputs of a project.
M	
Mid-term evaluation	An external evaluation performed towards the middle of the period of implementation of the project, whose principal goal is to draw conclusions for reorienting the project strategy.
Monitoring	The regular collection and analysis of information to assist timely decision making, ensure accountability and provide the basis for evaluation and learning. It is a continuing function that uses methodical collection of data to provide management and the main stakeholders of an ongoing project or programme with early indications of progress and achievement of objectives.
M&E matrix	A table describing the performance questions, information gathering requirements (including indicators), reflection and review events with stakeholders, and resources and activities required to implement a functional M&E system. This matrix lists how data will be collected, when, by whom and where.
O	
Objective	A specific statement detailing the desired accomplishments or outcomes of a project at different levels (short to long term). A good objective meets the criteria of being impact oriented, measurable, time limited, specific and practical.
Outcome	The results achieved at the level of "purpose" in the objective hierarchy.
Outputs	The tangible (easily measurable, practical), immediate and intended results to be produced through sound management of the agreed inputs. Examples of outputs include goods, services or infrastructure produced by a project and meant to help realise its purpose.
P	
Participation	One or more processes in which an individual (or group) takes part in specific decision-making and action, and over which s/he may exercise specific controls. It is often used to refer specifically to processes in which primary stakeholders take an active part in planning and decision-making, implementation, learning and evaluation. This often has the intention of sharing control over the resources generated and responsibility for their future use.
Participatory Monitoring and evaluation	A broad term for the involvement of primary and other stakeholders in monitoring and evaluation.
T	
Target	A specified objective that indicates the number, timing and location of that which is to be realised.
V	
Validity	The extent to which something is reliable and actually measures up to or makes a correct claim. This includes data collection strategies and instruments.
Validation	The process of cross-checking to ensure that the data obtained from one monitoring method are confirmed by the data obtained from a different method.

ANNEX B: Example of a DPCU Capacity and Management Index

Indicators	Score = 1	Score = 5	Score = 10
1. Qualifications of personnel	Most staff do not have the required education	Some staff have the required education	All staff have the required education
2. Staff Compliment	There are numerous key positions that are unfilled	Most key positions are filled but there are still gaps	All positions in the DPCU positions are filled
3. M&E Skills & Knowledge	Most staff do not have the requisite M&E skills and knowledge	Some staff have requisite M&E skills and knowledge	All staff have requisite M&E skills and knowledge
4. Availability of Funds	Funds available do not meet basic cost requirements	Funds available to meet basic costs, but will not allow DPCU to carry out all activities in the M&E plan	Funds available meet basic costs, as well as enable DPCU to carry out all activities in the M&E plan
5. Utilization of Funds	Resources are spent at the discretion of management and not in pre-approved areas	Some resources are spent as approved by the DA, but management continues to direct some funds inappropriately	Resources are spent as budgeted in accordance with the DMTDP
6. Timely Access to Funds	Funds released 12 months behind schedule	Funds released 6 months behind schedule	Funds released on schedule
7. Leadership	Leadership is not able to address development needs due to low motivation, corruption, or lack of qualification	Leadership is able to complete short term tasks, but is not dynamic or able to envision the medium to long term development	Leadership is dynamic and motivates the DA staff and members to work together for long term development
8. Management	The full complement of management is not available, and what is present does not have the skills to direct DPCU activities	Partial complement of management but not able to handle all functions e.g. planning, budgeting, financial reporting, M&E, etc.	There is a full complement of management and technically skilled to handle all functions
9. Workload	Workload is so high that staff have to work overtime to complete even basic administrative tasks	Workload forces staff to work overtime to complete planning and M&E functions	Staff are able to complete all jobs within regular working hours
10. Motivation/ Incentives	Basic central government Motivation/Incentives exist but are not accessible	Some central government motivation/incentives are accessible (training, maternity leave, overtime payment, etc)	Central government motivation/incentives are easy to access and development partners incentives also exist
11. Equipment/ Facilities	Office space, furniture, and other facilities are woefully inadequate	Office space is adequate, but furniture and other facilities are lacking for some staff	All staff have access to appropriate office space, furniture and other facilities
Total Score	11	55	110

NB: The score is a continuum from 1 to 10. The above example provides the worst, average and best case scenarios.

ANNEX C: Proposed Programme for Preparing the District M&E Plan

	PLAN PREPARATION ACTIVITIES	PARTICIPANTS	KEY OUTPUTS
1.	1 ST Meeting after the guidelines orientation workshop to map out the way forward, draw a budget and do stakeholders analysis	DPCU, DCE, PM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Budget for the plan preparation Stakeholders identified and analysed
2.	2 nd meeting to assess the M&E needs and conditions in the district and to develop the management and capacity index	DPCU, DCE, PM and representatives from key stakeholder groups	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> M&E challenges and solutions identified and discussed Management and capacity index developed
3.	Two-day workshop to select district specific indicators, establish baselines and targets and to produce the M&E matrix	DPCU and representatives from stakeholder groups (with knowledge on the subject). It is very important to have a facilitator who is up to the task.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete list of the district indicators (both core and district specific indicators) The district M&E matrix
4.	Two-day workshop to develop the M&E calendar and the budget.	DPCU and representatives from stakeholder groups (with knowledge on the subject). It is very important to have a facilitator who is up to the task.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> M&E calendar (work plan) M&E budget for the DMTDP
5.	Two-day workshop to work on steps 7 to 10, evaluations and participatory M&E; i.e. how to collect, collate, validate and analyse data. How to use M&E results, report and disseminate the reports, etc.	DPCU and representatives from stakeholder groups (with knowledge on the subject). It is very important to have a facilitator who is up to the task.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Write-up on steps 7,8,9 and 10 Write-up on evaluations and the PM&E to be carried out Dissemination strategy
6.	Collation of all the reports from the meetings and workshops into the draft M&E plan	DPCU Secretary (Planning Officer)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1st M&E Draft Plan
7.	A meeting to review the first draft	DPCU, DCE, PM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2nd M&E Draft Plan
8.	Meeting with a wide range of stakeholders to present the 2 nd draft plan	DPCU Secretary and representatives from all stakeholder groups	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3rd M&E Draft Plan
9.	Public hearing on the 3 rd M&E Draft Plan	DPCU and general public	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4th M&E Draft Plan
10.	4 th M&E Draft Plan submitted to RPCU and NDPC	DPCU Secretary (Planning Officer)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Feedback from RPCU and NDPC
11.	Comments discussed and amendments made to the draft	DPCU, DCE, PM and representatives from stakeholder groups	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> FINAL M&E Draft Plan
12.	Draft submitted to DA for debate and approval (together with the DMTDP)	DA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> APPROVED DTMDP AND M&E PLAN
13.	M&E plan implementation	DPCU, DCE, PM and stakeholders	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quarterly and Annual Progress Reports